

# The open mapping theorem for regular quaternionic functions

Graziano Gentili\*

Dipartimento di Matematica “U. Dini”, Università di Firenze  
Viale Morgagni 67/A, 50134 - Firenze, Italy, gentili@math.unifi.it

Caterina Stoppato

Dipartimento di Matematica “U. Dini”, Università di Firenze  
Viale Morgagni 67/A, 50134 - Firenze, Italy, stoppato@math.unifi.it

## Abstract

The basic results of a new theory of regular functions of a quaternionic variable have been recently stated, following an idea of Cullen. In this paper we prove the minimum modulus principle and the open mapping theorem for regular functions. The proofs involve some peculiar geometric properties of such functions which are of independent interest.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{H}$  denote the skew field of real quaternions. Its elements are of the form  $q = x_0 + ix_1 + jx_2 + kx_3$  where the  $x_l$  are real, and  $i, j, k$ , are imaginary units (i.e. their square equals  $-1$ ) such that  $ij = -ji = k$ ,  $jk = -kj = i$ , and  $ki = -ik = j$ .

After Hamilton’s discovery of quaternions, the richness of the theory of holomorphic functions of one complex variable, along with motivations from physics, aroused interest in a theory of quaternion valued functions of a quaternionic variable. In fact several interesting theories have been introduced in the last century. The most famous is the one due to Fueter, [2, 3], the basic results of which are accurately summarized in [9]. Recent work on Fueter-regularity includes [7] and reference therein.

A different theory of quaternion-valued functions of one quaternionic variable has been recently proposed by G. Gentili and D. C. Struppa [5, 6]. The theory is based on a definition of regularity for quaternionic functions inspired by C. G. Cullen [1]. Several basic results of the theory are proven in [6], including the Cullen-regularity of quaternion power series and some nice properties of their zeros. The study of the zero-sets has been further developed in [4].

We shall now quickly review the definition of Cullen-regular function and the basic properties of such a function. Denote by  $\mathbb{S}$  the two-dimensional sphere of quaternion imaginary units:  $\mathbb{S} = \{q \in \mathbb{H} : q^2 = -1\}$ . For all imaginary unit  $I \in \mathbb{S}$ , let  $L_I = \mathbb{R} + I\mathbb{R}$  be the complex line through 0, 1 and  $I$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{H}$  and let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be a real differentiable function.  $f$  is said to be Cullen-regular if, for all  $I \in \mathbb{S}$ , the function  $\bar{\partial}_I f : \Omega \cap L_I \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  defined by

$$\bar{\partial}_I f(x + Iy) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + I \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) f_I(x + Iy) \quad (1)$$

vanishes identically.

With the notations  $\Omega_I = \Omega \cap L_I$  and  $f_I = f|_{\Omega_I}$ , we may refer to the vanishing of  $\bar{\partial}_I f$  saying that the restriction  $f_I$  is holomorphic on  $\Omega_I$ . From now on we will omit Cullen’s name and refer to these functions just as regular functions. As observed in [6], a quaternion power series  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q^n a_n$  with  $a_n \in \mathbb{H}$  defines a

---

\*Partially supported by G.N.S.A.G.A. of the I.N.D.A.M. and by M.I.U.R.

regular function in its domain of convergence, which proves to be an open ball  $B(0, R) = \{q \in \mathbb{H} : |q| < R\}$ . In the same paper, it is proven that

**Theorem 1.2.** *If  $f : B = B(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is regular then there exist quaternions  $a_n \in \mathbb{H}$  such that*

$$f(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q^n a_n \quad (2)$$

for all  $q \in B$ . In particular,  $f \in C^\infty(B)$ .

Many classical results in complex analysis are extended in [6] to regular functions  $f : B(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ : the identity principle, the maximum modulus principle, the Cauchy representation formula, the Liouville theorem, the Morera theorem and the Schwarz lemma.

In this paper we prove the open mapping theorem for regular functions. We first define an operation on such functions which allows us to deduce a weak version of the minimum modulus principle (see theorem 4.3) from the maximum modulus principle proven in [6]. We then study the behaviour of a regular function  $f$  restricted to any 2-sphere  $S = x + y\mathbb{S}$  ( $x, y \in \mathbb{R}, y \neq 0$ ) and find out that  $f|_S$  is either constant or an affine map of  $S$  onto a 2-sphere  $b + c\mathbb{S}$  with  $b, c \in \mathbb{H}$ . This peculiar geometric property, which is of independent interest, leads to the following version of the minimum modulus principle:

**Theorem 1.3** (Minimum Modulus Principle). *Let  $f : B = B(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be a regular function. If  $|f|$  has a local minimum point  $p \in B$  then either  $f(p) = 0$  or  $f$  is constant.*

We define the degenerate set  $D_f$  of  $f$  as the union of all the 2-spheres  $S = x + y\mathbb{S}$  ( $x, y \in \mathbb{R}, y \neq 0$ ) on which  $f$  is constant. If  $f$  is not constant then  $D_f$  turns out to have empty interior and by means of the above result we prove

**Theorem 1.4** (Open Mapping Theorem). *Let  $f : B(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be a non-constant regular function and let  $D_f$  be its degenerate set. Then  $f : B(0, R) \setminus \overline{D_f} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is open.*

**Corollary 1.5.** *Let  $f : B(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be a regular function. If  $f$  is not constant on any 2-sphere  $x + y\mathbb{S}$  with  $y \neq 0$ , then  $f$  is open.*

We give an example of regular function  $f : B = B(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  which is open on  $B \setminus \overline{D_f}$  but not on  $B$ . Finally, we show that an open subset  $U \subseteq B(0, R)$  such that  $U = \bigcup_{x+yI \in U} x + y\mathbb{S}$  always maps to an open set  $f(U)$ , even when  $U$  intersects the degenerate set  $D_f$ . In particular  $f(B(0, R))$  is always an open set.

## 2 Preliminary results

We will recall in this section some results and definitions which appear in the study of the structure of the zero-sets of regular functions presented in [6, 4]. We begin with a symmetry property of the zeros proven in [6]. This result and the following are proven for polynomials in [8].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $f : B = B(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be a regular function and let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0$  be such that  $x^2 + y^2 < R^2$ . If there exist distinct imaginary units  $I, J \in \mathbb{S}$  such that  $f(x + yI) = f(x + yJ) = 0$ , then  $f(x + yK) = 0$  for all  $K \in \mathbb{S}$ .*

In other words, if  $f$  has more than one zero on the 2-sphere  $x + y\mathbb{S}$  then it vanishes identically on  $x + y\mathbb{S}$ . In [4] the zero-set is further characterized as follows.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $f$  be a regular function on an open ball  $B = B(0, R)$ . If  $f$  is not identically zero then its zero-set consists of isolated points or isolated 2-spheres of the form  $x + y\mathbb{S}$ , for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}, y \neq 0$ .*

The study of the zeros presented in [4] involves the following operations on regular functions  $f : B(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $f, g$  be regular functions on an open ball  $B = B(0, R)$  and let  $f(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q^n a_n, g(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q^n b_n$  be their power series expansions. We define the regular product of  $f$  and  $g$  as the regular function  $f * g : B \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  defined by

$$f * g(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q^n c_n, \quad c_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_{n-k}. \quad (3)$$

We define the regular conjugate of  $f$  as the function  $f^c : B \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ , defined by the following formula:  $f^c(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q^n \bar{a}_n$ . Finally, we define the symmetrization of  $f$ , as  $f^s = f * f^c = f^c * f$ .

Note that the regular multiplication is an associative, non-commutative binary operation. Since no confusion can arise, we may also write  $f(q) * g(q)$  for  $f * g(q)$ . Also note that the symmetrization  $f^s(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q^n \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \bar{a}_{n-k}$  has real coefficients. The zero-sets of  $f^c$  and  $f^s$  are characterized in [4] as follows.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $f$  be a regular function on  $B = B(0, R)$ . For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $x + y\mathbb{S} \subseteq B$ , the zeros of the regular conjugate  $f^c$  on  $x + y\mathbb{S}$  are in one-to-one correspondence with those of  $f$ . Moreover, the symmetrization  $f^s$  vanishes exactly on the sets  $x + y\mathbb{S}$  on which  $f$  has a zero.

Note that  $x + y\mathbb{S}$  is a 2-sphere if  $y \neq 0$  and a real singleton  $\{x\}$  if  $y = 0$ .

### 3 The reciprocal function

We define a new operation on regular functions, associating to any regular  $f : B(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  a function  $f^{-*}$  called its regular reciprocal. The reason for this name will become apparent later. Denote by  $\mathcal{Z}_f$  the zero set of a regular function  $f$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $f : B = B(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be a regular function and let  $f^c, f^s$  be its regular conjugate and symmetrization. We call the regular reciprocal of  $f$  the function  $f^{-*} : B \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{f^s} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  defined by

$$f^{-*}(q) = \frac{1}{f^s(q)} f^c(q). \quad (4)$$

We will use the shorthand notation  $f^{-s}(q)$  for  $\frac{1}{f^s(q)}$ . Also, from now on we will refer to  $f^{-*}$  just as the reciprocal of  $f$ , omitting the adjective “regular” for the sake of simplicity. The reciprocal  $f^{-*}$  of a regular function is regular due to the following result, which can be proven by direct computation.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $f, g : B = B(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be regular functions and suppose the power series expansion of  $g$  at 0,  $g(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q^n r_n$ , has real coefficients  $r_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the function  $h : B \setminus \mathcal{Z}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  defined by  $h(q) = \frac{1}{g(q)} f(q)$  is regular.

If  $f$  does not have any zeros then  $f^{-*}$  is the inverse element of the function  $f$  with respect to regular multiplication:

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $f$  be a regular function on  $B = B(0, R)$  which does not have zeros. Then  $f^{-*}$  is a regular function on  $B$  and

$$f * f^{-*} = f^{-*} * f = 1. \quad (5)$$

*Proof.* By theorem 2.4, if  $f$  does not have zeros then the zero set  $\mathcal{Z}_{f^s}$  of its symmetrization is empty. In this case  $f^{-s}$  and  $f^{-*}$  are well defined and regular on the whole ball  $B$  and we may consider their regular product with other regular functions  $g : B \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ . We first note that for all such  $g$  we have  $f^{-s}(q)g(q) = f^{-s}(q) * g(q) = g(q) * f^{-s}(q)$ . We may then compute:

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-*} * f &= f^{-s} * f^c * f = f^{-s} f^s = 1, \\ f * f^{-*} &= f * f^{-s} * f^c = f^{-s} * f * f^c = f^{-s} f^s = 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

We now give an alternative expression of the regular product and the reciprocal.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $f, g$  be regular functions on  $B = B(0, R)$ . Then*

$$f * g(q) = f(q)g(f(q)^{-1}qf(q)) \quad (6)$$

for all  $q \in B \setminus \mathcal{Z}_f$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q^n a_n, g(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q^n b_n$  be the power series expansions of  $f$  and  $g$ . By definition 2.3,

$$f * g(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q^n \sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_{n-k} = \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{N}} q^{l+k} a_k b_l = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} q^l f(q) b_l.$$

If  $f(q) \neq 0$  then

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} q^l f(q) b_l = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} f(q) f(q)^{-1} q^l f(q) b_l = f(q) \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} [f(q)^{-1} q f(q)]^l b_l = f(q) g(f(q)^{-1} q f(q)).$$

□

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $f$  be a regular function on  $B = B(0, R)$ . If we set  $T_f(q) = f^c(q)^{-1} q f^c(q)$ , then*

$$f^{-*}(q) = f(T_f(q))^{-1} \quad (7)$$

for all  $q \in B \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{f^s}$ .

*Proof.* If  $f^s(q) \neq 0$  then  $f^c(q) \neq 0$ , hence  $T_f$  is well defined on  $B \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{f^s}$ . We also note that, by the previous result,  $f^c(q) * g(q) = f^c(q)g(T_f(q))$ . We conclude by computation:

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-*}(q) &= f^s(q)^{-1} f^c(q) = [f^c * f(q)]^{-1} f^c(q) = \\ &= [f^c(q) f(T_f(q))]^{-1} f^c(q) = f(T_f(q))^{-1} f^c(q)^{-1} f^c(q) = f(T_f(q))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

This result clarifies, together with proposition 3.3, why we call  $f^{-*}$  the “reciprocal” of  $f$ . Remark that  $T_f : B \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{f^s} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  maps any 2-sphere (or real singleton)  $x + y\mathbb{S}$  to itself. In particular  $T_f(B \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{f^s}) \subseteq B \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{f^s}$ . Moreover, we prove the following.

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $f : B = B(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be a regular function.  $T_f$  and  $T_{f^c}$  are mutual inverses. In particular  $T_f : B \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{f^s} \rightarrow B \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{f^s}$  is a diffeomorphism.*

*Proof.* For all  $q \in B \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{f^s}$  we have, setting  $p = T_f(q)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{f^c} \circ T_f(q) &= T_{f^c}(p) = f(p)^{-1} p f(p) = \\ &= f(p)^{-1} [f^c(q)^{-1} q f^c(q)] f(p) = [f^c(q) f(p)]^{-1} q [f^c(q) f(p)] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f^c(q) f(p) = f^c(q) f(f^c(q)^{-1} q f^c(q)) = f^c * f(q) = f^s(q).$$

Hence

$$T_{f^c} \circ T_f(q) = f^s(q)^{-1} q f^s(q) = q,$$

where the last equality holds because  $f^s(q)$  always lies in the same complex line as  $q$  so that they commute. □

## 4 The minimum modulus principle

The reciprocal function will allow us to derive the minimum modulus principle from the maximum modulus principle proven in [6]. Actually, the latter only applies to functions which are regular on a ball  $B(0, R)$ . In order to apply it to the reciprocal  $f^{-*} : B \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{fs} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  we need to extend the maximum modulus principle to a slightly larger class of functions. We first extend the identity principle proven in [6].

**Proposition 4.1** (Identity Principle). *Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{H}$  intersecting the real axis and having connected intersection  $\Omega_I = \Omega \cap L_I$  with any complex line  $L_I$ . If  $f, g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  are regular functions which coincide on  $\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}$  then they coincide on the whole domain  $\Omega$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $h = f - g$  and let us prove  $h \equiv 0$  on  $\Omega$ . Choose any imaginary unit  $I \in \mathbb{S}$  and consider the restriction  $h_I = h|_{\Omega_I}$ . Since  $h_I : \Omega_I \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is holomorphic and it vanishes on the set  $\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}$ , which is not discrete,  $h_I$  must vanish identically on  $\Omega_I$ .  $\square$

We are now ready to extend the maximum modulus principle as desired.

**Theorem 4.2** (Maximum Modulus Principle). *Let  $\Omega$  be the quaternionic ball  $B = B(0, R)$  minus a (closed) set  $\mathcal{E}$  consisting of isolated points and isolated 2-spheres  $x + y\mathbb{S}$ . Let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be a regular function. If  $|f|$  has a local maximum point  $p \in \Omega$  then  $f$  is constant in  $\Omega$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $L_I$ , with  $I \in \mathbb{S}$ , be the complex line through  $p$  in  $\mathbb{H}$  and consider the restriction  $f_I : \Omega_I \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ . If  $p$  is a maximum point for  $|f|$  then it is also a maximum point for  $|f_I|$ . By the same argument used in [6], we conclude  $f_I$  is constant on  $\Omega_I$ . By the identity principle 4.1,  $f$  is constant on  $\Omega$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3** (Weak Minimum Modulus Principle). *Let  $f : B = B(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be a regular function. If  $|f|$  has a local minimum point  $p = x + yI \in B$  then either  $f$  is constant or  $f$  has a zero in  $x + y\mathbb{S}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $f$  does not have zeroes in  $S = x + y\mathbb{S}$  and consider the reciprocal  $f^{-*}$ : it is defined on the domain  $\Omega = B \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{fs}$ , which includes  $S$ . Remark that

$$|f^{-*}(q)| = \frac{1}{|f(T_f(q))|}$$

for all  $q \in \Omega$ . Since  $T_f$  is a diffeomorphism of  $B \setminus \mathcal{Z}_{fs}$  onto itself, the fact that  $|f|$  has a minimum at  $p = T_f(p')$  implies that  $|f \circ T_f|$  has a minimum at  $p'$ . As a consequence,  $|f^{-*}|$  has a maximum at  $p'$ . By the maximum modulus principle 4.2,  $f^{-*}$  is constant on  $\Omega$ . This implies that  $f$  is constant, too.  $\square$

We will soon prove a stronger version of the minimum modulus principle, which will allow us to prove the open mapping theorem for regular quaternionic functions.

## 5 Value distribution on the 2-spheres

We now want to strengthen the minimum modulus principle, proving that if the modulus of a non-constant regular function  $f$  has a minimum at a non-real point  $p = x + yI$  then  $p$  itself must be a zero for  $f$ . In order to prove this result, we need to better understand of the distribution of the values of  $f$  on the 2-sphere  $x + y\mathbb{S}$  through  $p$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $f : B = B(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be a regular function. For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x + y\mathbb{S} \subseteq B$  there exist  $b, c \in \mathbb{H}$  such that*

$$f(x + yI) = b + Ic \tag{8}$$

for all  $I \in \mathbb{S}$ . Remark that  $c = 0$  if, and only if,  $f$  is constant on  $x + y\mathbb{S}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q^n a_n$  be the power series expansion of  $f$  at 0 and, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $x_n, y_n \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $(x + yI)^n = x_n + y_n I$ . Then

$$f(x + yI) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (x + yI)^n a_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (x_n + y_n I) a_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n a_n + I \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} y_n a_n = b + Ic \tag{9}$$

$\square$

We label the 2-spheres characterized by  $c = 0$  with the following definition.

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $f : B = B(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be a regular function and let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0$  be such that  $S = x + y\mathbb{S} \subseteq B$ . The 2-sphere  $S$  is said to be degenerate for  $f$  if the restriction  $f|_S$  is constant. The union  $D_f$  of all degenerate spheres for  $f$  is called the degenerate set of  $f$ .

**Example 5.3.** i) Let  $P(q)$  be a quaternionic polynomial having real coefficients and a non-real root  $x + yI$ . Then  $x + y\mathbb{S}$  is a degenerate sphere for  $P$ . A simple example is given by the polynomial  $q^2 + 1$ , which vanishes on the 2-sphere  $\mathbb{S}$ .

ii) The regular function  $f : B(0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  defined by  $f(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q^n = \frac{1}{1-q}$  has no degenerate spheres. In fact in this case  $f_I : B_I \rightarrow L_I$  can be written as  $f_I(x + yI) = \frac{1-x}{(1-x)^2+y^2} + \frac{y}{(1-x)^2+y^2}I$  and  $\frac{y}{(1-x)^2+y^2} = 0$  if, and only if,  $y = 0$ . Therefore there are no degenerate spheres for  $f$ .

If  $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is regular and  $S = x + y\mathbb{S} \subseteq B$  (with  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0$ ) is not degenerate, then the restriction  $f|_S$  is an affine map of  $S$  onto a 2-sphere  $b + \mathbb{S}c$  with  $b, c \in \mathbb{H}$ . As an immediate consequence, we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.4.** Let  $f : B = B(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be a regular function, let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $S = x + y\mathbb{S} \subseteq B$  and suppose  $S$  not to be degenerate. Then  $|f|_S$  has one global minimum, one global maximum and no other extremal point.

As a consequence, we get a stronger version of the minimum modulus principle.

**Theorem 5.5** (Minimum Modulus Principle). Let  $f : B = B(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be a regular function. If  $|f|$  has a local minimum point  $p \in B$  then either  $f(p) = 0$  or  $f$  is constant.

*Proof.* By theorem 4.3 a non-constant  $f$  whose modulus has a minimum at  $p = x + yI$  must have a zero at a point  $p' \in x + y\mathbb{S}$ . In particular  $|f|$  has a minimum at  $p'$ . By the previous corollary,  $|f|$  cannot have two distinct local minimum points on  $x + y\mathbb{S}$ , unless  $x + y\mathbb{S}$  is degenerate for  $f$ . As a consequence, either  $f$  is constant on  $x + y\mathbb{S}$  or  $p = p'$ . In both cases,  $f(p) = f(p') = 0$ .  $\square$

This result will prove very useful in the next section.

## 6 The open mapping theorem

We are now ready to prove the open mapping theorem for quaternionic regular functions. Before doing so, we want to state two properties of the degenerate set of a regular function, defined in 5.2.

**Proposition 6.1.** If  $f : B = B(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is a regular function, then the degenerate set  $D_f$  of  $f$  is a closed subset of  $B \setminus \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, if  $f$  is not a constant function then the interior of  $D_f$  is empty.

*Proof.* By theorem 5.1, there exist real analytic functions  $b = b(x, y), c = c(x, y)$  such that  $f(x + yI) = b(x, y) + Ic(x, y)$ . Denote by  $C_f$  the union of all spheres (or real singletons)  $x + y\mathbb{S}, x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $c(x, y) = 0$ . We have  $D_f = C_f \setminus \mathbb{R}$  since, for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}, y \neq 0$ ,  $f$  is constant on  $x + y\mathbb{S}$  if and only if  $c(x, y) = 0$ . Clearly  $C_f$  is closed in  $B$ , thus  $D_f = C_f \setminus \mathbb{R}$  is closed in  $B \setminus \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, if  $f$  is not constant then the interior of  $D_f$  is empty because the interior of  $C_f$  is. Assume by contradiction that, for some  $I \in \mathbb{S}$ , there exist a non-empty open subset  $A_I$  of  $B_I = B \cap L_I$  such that  $A_I \subseteq C_f$ , i.e. such that  $c(x, y) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} y_n a_n = 0$  for all  $x + yI \in A_I$ . If we set the obvious notation  $a_n = a_n^0 + a_n^1 i + a_n^2 j + a_n^3 k$  then, for  $p = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , the holomorphic function  $f_p : B_I \rightarrow L_I$  defined by

$$f_p(x + yI) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (x + yI)^n a_n^p = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n a_n^p + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} y_n a_n^p I$$

has vanishing imaginary part on the open set  $A_I$ . Thus  $f(A_I) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and, by the open mapping theorem for holomorphic functions of one complex variable,  $f_p$  is constant on  $B_I$  for  $p = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . Hence  $f$  is constant on  $B_I$  and, by the identity principle 4.1, on  $B$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.2** (Open Mapping Theorem). *Let  $f : B(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be a non-constant regular function and let  $D_f$  be its degenerate set. Then  $f : B(0, R) \setminus \overline{D_f} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is open.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $B(0, R) \setminus \overline{D_f}$  and let  $p_0 \in f(U)$ . We will show that the image  $f(U)$  contains a ball  $B(p_0, \varepsilon)$  with  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $q_0 \in U$  such that  $f(q_0) = p_0$ . Since  $U \cap D_f = \emptyset$ , the point  $q_0$  must be an isolated zero of the function  $f(q) - p_0$ . We may thus choose  $r > 0$  such that  $\overline{B(q_0, r)} \subseteq U$  and  $f(q) - p_0 \neq 0$  for all  $q \in \overline{B(q_0, r)} \setminus \{q_0\}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that

$$|f(q) - p_0| \geq 3\varepsilon$$

for all  $q$  such that  $|q - q_0| = r$ . For all  $p \in B(p_0, \varepsilon)$  we get

$$|f(q) - p| \geq |f(q) - p_0| - |p - p_0| \geq 3\varepsilon - \varepsilon = 2\varepsilon$$

for  $|q - q_0| = r$ , while

$$|f(q_0) - p| = |p_0 - p| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Thus  $|f(q_0) - p| < \min_{|q - q_0| = r} |f(q) - p|$  and  $|f(q) - p|$  must have a local minimum point in  $B(q_0, r)$ . Since  $f(q) - p$  is not constant, it must vanish at the same point by theorem 5.5. Hence there exists  $q \in B(q_0, r) \subseteq U$  such that  $f(q) = p$ .  $\square$

If  $f$  has no degenerate spheres, as in example 5.3 ii), then we get the statement

**Corollary 6.3.** *Let  $f : B(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be a regular function. If  $f$  is not constant on any 2-sphere  $x + y\mathbb{S}$  with  $y \neq 0$ , then  $f$  is open.*

We point out that there exist regular functions  $f : B(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  which are open on  $B(0, R) \setminus \overline{D_f}$  but not on  $B(0, R)$ . For instance the function  $f(q) = q^2 + 1$  which appears in example 5.3 i) maps the open ball  $B = B(I, 1/2)$  centered at  $I \in \mathbb{S}$  onto a non-open set: indeed  $0 \in f(B)$  and  $f(B) \cap L_K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  when  $K \in \mathbb{S}$  is orthogonal to  $I$ . Nevertheless we notice that  $f(B(0, R))$  is open. In fact, if we choose an open set  $U \subseteq B(0, R)$  which contains degenerate spheres for  $f$ , we can still prove that  $f(U)$  is open provided  $U$  has the following property.

**Definition 6.4.** *Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ . We say that  $U$  is circular if, for all  $x + yI \in U$  with  $y > 0$ , the whole 2-sphere  $x + y\mathbb{S}$  is contained in  $U$ .*

For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0$  we may consider the neighborhood of  $S = x + y\mathbb{S}$  defined by  $C(S, r) = \{q \in \mathbb{H} : d(q, S) < r\}$  and remark that it is circular.

**Theorem 6.5.** *Let  $f : B(0, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be a regular function. If  $U$  is a circular open subset of  $B(0, R)$ , then  $f(U)$  is open.*

*Proof.* Let  $p_0 \in f(U)$ . Choose  $q_0 = x_0 + y_0I \in U$  such that  $f(q_0) = p_0$ . Since  $f(q) - p_0$  has a zero on  $S = x_0 + y_0\mathbb{S} \subseteq U$ , there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $\overline{C(S, r)} \subseteq U$  and  $f(q) - p_0 \neq 0$  for all  $q \in \overline{C(S, r)} \setminus S$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that  $|f(q) - p_0| \geq 3\varepsilon$  for all  $q$  such that  $d(q, S) = r$ . For all  $p \in B(p_0, \varepsilon)$  we get

$$|f(q) - p| \geq |f(q) - p_0| - |p - p_0| \geq 3\varepsilon - \varepsilon = 2\varepsilon > \varepsilon \geq |p_0 - p| = |f(q_0) - p|$$

for  $d(q, S) = r$ . Thus  $|f(q) - p|$  must have a local minimum point in  $C(S, r)$ . By the minimum modulus principle, there exists  $q \in C(S, r)$  such that  $f(q) - p = 0$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] C. G. Cullen, An integral theorem for analytic intrinsic functions on quaternions. *Duke Math. J.* **32** (1965), 139–148.
- [2] R. Fueter, Die Funktionentheorie der Differentialgleichungen  $\Delta u = 0$  und  $\Delta \Delta u = 0$  mit vier reellen Variablen. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **7** (1934/5), 307–330.

- [3] R. Fueter, Über die analytische Darstellung der regulären Funktionen einer Quaternionenvariablen. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **8** (1935/6), 371–378.
- [4] G. Gentili, C. Stoppato, Zeros of regular functions and polynomials of a quaternionic variable, Preprint. Dipartimento di Matematica “U. Dini”, Università di Firenze, n. 1 (2007).
- [5] G. Gentili, D. C. Struppa, A new approach to Cullen-regular functions of a quaternionic variable. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **342** (2006), 741–744.
- [6] G. Gentili, D. C. Struppa, A new theory of regular functions of a quaternionic variable. *Adv. Math.* **216** (2007), 279–301.
- [7] V.V. Kravchenko, M.V. Shapiro, *Integral Representations for Spatial Models of Mathematical Physics*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, 351. Longman, Harlow, 1996.
- [8] A. Pogorui, M. V. Shapiro, On the structure of the set of zeros of quaternionic polynomials. *Complex Variables Theory Appl.* **49** (2004), no. 6, 379–389.
- [9] A. Sudbery, Quaternionic analysis. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **85** (1979), 199–225.